1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
8	NYLYSHA STARVION BELAFON ARADON, et al.,	CASE NO. 2:20-CV-1665-RSM-DWC	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKING MOTIONS FOR	
11	v.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT FROM THE COURT'S CALENDAR AND	
12	SNOHOMISH COUNTY, et al.,	RESETTING TRIAL DATE	
13	Defendant.		
14	On today's date, June 10, 2022, the Court appointed a guardian ad litem (GAL)—Bruce		
15	Wolf—for minor Plaintiff A.L Dkt. 161. As a result of appointing a GAL at this stage of the		
16	proceedings the Court has also directed Mr. Wolf to file a status report regarding whether		
17	additional discovery is necessary in this case. To allow the Court adequate time to consider Mr.		
18	Wolf's status report and any subsequent motion to reopen discovery the Court finds the pending		
19	Motions for Summary Judgment (Dkts. 86, 88, 91) should be stricken from the Court's calendar		
20	and the trial date should be reset.		
21	I. Motions for Summary Judgment (Dkts. 86, 88, 91)		
22	The Court has now appointed Mr. Wolf as GAL to minor Plaintiff A.L. with permission		
23	to consider whether additional discovery is necessary for A.L. to adequately respond to the		
24			

1	pending motions for summary judgment. Therefore, the Court finds the Motions for Summary	
2	Judgment (Dkts. 86, 88, 91) should be stricken from the Court's calendar. After consideration of	
3	Mr. Wolf's status report and any concomitant discovery motions, the Court will take appropriate	
4	action regarding re-noting the Motions for Summary Judgment (Dkts. 86, 99, 91).	
5	II. Resetting Trial Date and Pretrial Dates	
6	Additionally, in light of the fact minor Plaintiff A.H.'s GAL, Ms. Read, has indicated that	
7	A.H.'s reunification with her biological parents is "imminent" and that she should not be	
8	interviewed, examined or deposed until that happens (see Dkt. 100 at 13-14), resetting the trial	
9	date to accommodate A.H.'s needs will likely have a positive impact on the proceedings.	
10	Therefore, the Court finds it is appropriate to reset the trial to April 17, 2023 to ensure adequate	
11	time to resolve all pretrial matters.	
12	III. Conclusion	
13	In light of the Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Appoint Guardian Ad Litem for A.L.	
14	and the forthcoming status report from Mr. Wolf, the Motions for Summary Judgment (Dkts. 86,	
15	88, 91) are stricken from the Court's calendar and the trial date is reset to April 17, 2023. The	
16	Court will enter a separate order amending the scheduling order after consideration of Mr.	
17	Wolf's status report.	
18	The Clerk is directed to remove the Motions for Summary Judgment (Dkts. 86, 88, 91) as	
19	pending motions on the docket.	
20	Dated this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2022.	
21	M. Muisto	
22	David W. Christel	
23	United States Magistrate Judge	
24		